

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 707 OF 2006

Mr. Narendra Pratap Singh	... Petitioner
V/s.	
The State of Maharashtra	... Respondent

Mr. R. Sorankar for the petitioner.
Mrs. S. Ajitkumar for respondent No.3.
Mr.R.M. Sawant, Govt. Pleader for respondent Nos. 1&4
Mr. J.J. Shah for respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
 ANOO V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : 26th April, 2006**

P.C.

. Notice has been served on the petitioner u/s 347 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act by the respondent No.4. It is a case of respondent No. 4 that the petitioner has replied to the same. If that be the case, respondent No. 4 to consider the same and dispose it of according to law within four weeks from today.

2. In the matter of the issues as to whether petitioner is entitled to an alternate accommodation, the petitioner will have to make out his case before competent authority by filing his claim. Admittedly, the petitioner has not produced before us Annexure II to show that his name is included and that he has been found to be eligible.

3. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]